

## Errata for *Bayesian Statistics the Fun Way* (updated to 6<sup>th</sup> printing)

**Page 29:** The line:

“So, using our die roll and coin toss example, the probability of rolling a number less than 6 or flipping a heads is:”

should now read:

“So, using our die roll and coin toss example, the probability of rolling a number equal to 6 or flipping a heads is:”

**Page 41:** In the y axis on Figure 4.2:

$B(k; 10, 1/2)$

should now read:

$B(k; 10, 1/6)$

and the caption for Figure 4.2 that reads:

“The probability of getting a 6 when rolling a six-sided die 10 times”

should now read:

“The probability of getting 6 k times when rolling a six-sided die 10 times”

**Page 51:** The line:

“What we get in the end is a function that describes the probability of each possible hypothesis for our true belief in the probability of getting two heads from the box . . .”

should now read:

“What we get in the end is a function that describes the probability of each possible hypothesis for our true belief in the probability of getting two coins from the box . . .”

**Page 71:** The equation:

$\text{numberOfRedStuds} = P(\text{yellow} | \text{red}) \times \text{numberOfRedStuds} = 1/5 \times 20 = 4$

should now read:

$\text{numberOfRedUnderYellow} = P(\text{yellow} | \text{red}) \times \text{numberOfRedStuds} = 1/5 \times 20 = 4$

**Page 87:** The equation:

$$\text{Beta}(20002, 7401) = \text{Beta}(2 + 20000, 7400 + 1)$$

should now read:

$$\text{Beta}(20002, 7441) = \text{Beta}(2 + 20000, 7440 + 1)$$

**Page 88:** The top label on Figure 9-3 that reads:

“Distribution of our prior belief  $\text{Beta}(2+20000, 7400+1)$ ”

should now read:

“Distribution of our posterior belief  $\text{Beta}(2+20000, 7440+1)$ ”

**Page 105:** In the last row of Table 11-1, under the “Difference from mean” column,  $-0.16$  should now read  $-0.2$ .

and in the equation,  $a_1$  and  $b_1$  should instead be  $a_i$  and  $b_i$  (subscript 1 should be subscript  $i$ )

**Page 106:** In the second equation,  $2.08$  should now read  $0.416$ .

**Page 127:** In the top code block, we deleted the second code line:

```
xs.all <- seq(0, 1, by=0.0001)
```

**Page 130:** The reference to Figure 3-5 should instead read Figure 13-5.

**Page 164:** The line:

“The prior odds look like this:”

should now read:

“The probabilities look like this:”

and in the last equation, the fraction  $223/370,000$  should now read  $245/370,000$ .

and the line:

“This result shows that  $H_2$  is about 1,659 times more likely than  $H_1$ .”

should now read:

“This result shows that H2 is about 1,510 times more likely than H1.”

**Page 224:** The line:

“Since you’ve run half a mile, using this simple formula, we can figure out:”

should now read:

“Since you’ve run half an hour, using this simple formula, we can figure out:”

**Page 234:** The line:

“As expected, the probability of this is extremely low: about 1/32,000.”

should now read:

“As expected, the probability of this is low: about 1/2,200.”

**Page 236:** The line:

“Luckily we already did all this work earlier in the chapter, so we know that  $(A) = 4/1,000$  and  $P(B) = 3/(100,000)$ .”

should now read:

“Luckily we already did all this work earlier in the chapter, so we know that  $(A) = 8/100$  and  $P(B) = 3/(100,000)$ .”

**Page 237:** The line:

“Plugging in our numbers, we get an answer of 100,747/25,000,000 or 0.00403.”

should now read:

“Plugging in our numbers, we get an answer of 800,276/10,000,000 or 0.0800276.”

**Page 242:** In the last line of code on the page:

```
temp.sd <- my.sd(temp.data)
```

should now read:

```
temp.sd <- sd(temp.data)
```

**Page 250:** The second equation:

$$P(D | H_2) = 0.63 \times 0.55 \times 0.49 = 0.170$$

should now read:

$$P(D | H_2) = 0.94 \times 0.83 \times 0.49 = 0.382$$

And the line:

“This means that given the Bayes factor alone, vestibular schwannoma is a roughly two times better explanation than labyrinthitis. Now we have to look at the odds ratio:”

Should now read:

“This means that given the Bayes factor alone, vestibular schwannoma is a roughly four times better explanation than labyrinthitis. Now we have to look at the prior odds ratio:”

**Page 251:** The line:

“The end result is that labyrinthitis is only a slightly better explanation than vestibular schwannoma.”

should now read:

“The end result is that vestibular schwannoma is only a slightly better explanation than labyrinthitis.”

**Page 254:** In the top equation, the content should now read:

$$50 = 9/19 \times BF \quad BF = 950$$

and the second line of the first code block:

```
hypotheses <- seq(0,1,by=0.01)
```

should now read:

```
hypotheses <- seq(0,1,by=dx)
```