

## Errata for *Hacking, 2<sup>nd</sup> edition* (updated to 22<sup>nd</sup> printing)

**Page 3:** In the last paragraph, “DCMA” should now read “DMCA.”

**Page 19:** The code in *firstprog.c* that reads:

```
printf("Hello, world!\n");
```

should now read:

```
puts("Hello, world!\n");
```

**Page 22:** In the first paragraph, the second to last sentence should now read: “The 32-bit processors have  $2^{32}$  (or 4,294,967,296) possible addresses, while current 64-bit processors have a 48-bit address space, allowing for  $2^{48}$  addresses.”

**Page 25:** The last sentence of the first full paragraph should note that EIP points to a memory address at 0x804837a, not 0x804838a.

**Page 38:** The line in the last paragraph that reads:

“The character array was defined, so 20 bytes are allocated for it, but only 12 of these bytes are actually used.”

should now read:

“The character array was defined, so 20 bytes are allocated for it, but only 15 of these bytes are actually used.”

**Page 55:** In the code listing for the *pointer\_types3.c* program, the first `for` loop’s comment should read: “Iterate through the char array with the `int_pointer`.” The second `for` loop’s comment should read: “Iterate through the int array with the `char_pointer`.”

**Page 56:** In the code listing for the *pointer\_types4.c* program, the first comment should read:

“Iterate through the char array with the `void_pointer`.” The second comment should read: “Iterate through the int array with an unsigned integer.”

**Page 57:** In the code listing for the *pointer\_types5.c* program, the first comment should read: “Iterate through the char array with an unsigned integer.” The second comment should read: “Iterate through the int array with an unsigned integer.”

**Page 71:** In the *stack\_example.c* code, the text should read “The local variables for the function include a 4-byte integer called `flag` and a 10-character buffer called `buffer`.”

**Page 72:** In the block of code at the top of the page, the second line which reads:

```
(gdb) disass test_function()
```

should now read:

```
(gdb) disass test_function
```

**Page 74:** The (2) should be placed at `0xbffff7dc` in the `gdb` listing. This is the last hexword on the second line of the stack listing, directly above where the (4) is. The hexvalue for that position in the text is `0x080483b9`.

**Page 87:** In section 0x282, in the first section after output, the sentence that reads:

“For the other two `simplenote` files, the owner is reader and the group is users.”

should now read:

“For the other two `simplenote*` files, the owner is reader and the group is users and reader.”

**Page 88:** In section 0x282, the first sentence of the page after the code should read: “The first command (`chmod 731`) gives read, write, and execute permissions to the user . . .”

**Page 114:** The statistic “increase your chances of finding the ace from 33 percent to 50 percent” should now read “33 percent to 66 percent”

**Page 128:** In the diagram, “`return_value` variable” should be “`auth_flag` variable”

**Page 148:** The third paragraph of this page makes an incorrect reference to *notesearch\_exploit.c*. It should read *exploit\_notesearch.c*.

**Page 153:** The last sentence of the fourth paragraph should read: “The same password with a different salt produces a different hash.”

**Page 197:** In the third line, “next later” should be “next layer.”

**Page 298:** The line in the last paragraph:

“The extra backslash doesn’t matter and is effectively ignored.”

should instead read:

“The extra slash doesn’t matter and is effectively ignored.”